

AUSTIN PEAY STATE UNIVERSITY  
**Enhanced Peer Evaluation Report: Summative**  
(Faculty seeking Retention for Year 6, Tenure, or Promotion)

Faculty Member Being Evaluated: \_\_\_\_\_ Faculty Member's Rank: \_\_\_\_\_

Faculty Member's Department: \_\_\_\_\_ Semester of Evaluation: \_\_\_\_\_

*If faculty member is tenure-track:* Years of Prior Credit Awarded toward Tenure: \_\_\_\_\_

*If faculty member is tenure-track:* Number of Years of Probationary service at APSU including present year: \_\_\_\_\_

Course Number: (e.g. COMM 2045): \_\_\_\_\_ Course Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Course Meeting Time (e.g., MWF 9:05 a.m.—10 a.m.) \_\_\_\_\_

**Course Modality:**

Face-to-face

Fully Online

Hybrid

Hybrid Light

Desktop Video Conferencing

Other (please explain): \_\_\_\_\_

---

Date of Summative Evaluation: \_\_\_\_\_ Time Range: (e.g., Class observed from 09:35 -10:40 am) \_\_\_\_\_

Online Platform (if synchronous, e.g., Zoom) or Room Location (if in-person, include Building & Room #): \_\_\_\_\_

Number of students enrolled: \_\_\_\_\_ Number of students in attendance (if evaluation synchronous or in-person): \_\_\_\_\_

---

**Summative Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness**

**Rating Scale: (1 = Poor, 2 = Weak, 3 = Average, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent, NA = not applicable)**

Each College determines criteria to be ranked. Potential components are included below as a starting place for each college for 2022-2023; we strongly recommend at least one representative from each department participate in the process to determine criteria for future years)

Faculty RTP Policy Committee has recommended the following sample criteria for 2022-2023:

**Rating Scale: (1 = Poor, 2 = Weak, 3 = Average, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent, NA = not applicable)**

**Content**

Main ideas are clear and specific	1	2	3	4	5	
Higher order thinking is required	1	2	3	4	5	
Definitions are given for vocabulary	1	2	3	4	5	NA
Provides tangible connections with other disciplines or real-world examples	1	2	3	4	5	NA

**Organization**

Organization is clear and logical	1	2	3	4	5	
-----------------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	--

Material is paced appropriately	1	2	3	4	5	
Connects to students' prior knowledge, lessons, assignments, and/or readings	1	2	3	4	5	NA
<b>Interaction</b>						
Encourages students to ask questions	1	2	3	4	5	
Provides informative feedback	1	2	3	4	5	
Works to ensure respectful, open, and inclusive learning community	1	2	3	4	5	
Instructor incorporates student responses	1	2	3	4	5	NA
Encourages and facilitates dialogue, discussion, or student-student interaction for all students	1	2	3	4	5	
<b>Use of Media</b>						
Presentation style facilitates learning	1	2	3	4	5	
Computerized instruction is effective	1	2	3	4	5	NA
Audio/visual materials are used effectively	1	2	3	4	5	NA
<b>Verbal/Non-verbal</b>						
(If no videos of faculty available in D2L select NA)						
Instructor communicates effectively	1	2	3	4	5	NA
Rate of delivery is appropriate	1	2	3	4	5	NA

### Summative Narrative Comments on Observed Strengths and Areas for Improvement

Each College determines any additional components for comment. As already in policy, narrative comments will be required...potentially set per college or at university level a minimum and maximum word count or page range.

Faculty RTP Policy Committee has recommended the following for 2022-2023 as Minimum comments:

Topics to comment on (at a minimum):

- 1) Specific examples of observed strengths.
- 2) Specific examples of observed areas needing improvement.
- 3) Specific recommendations and suggestions for improvement of teaching effectiveness.

Evaluator's Name (print): \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluator's Department: \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluator's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_